**Author Guidelines**

**Format of Articles:**

* Title
* Author's affiliation (Author Name, Department, College, State, Country, Mobile Number and E-Mail should be provided)
* The Abstract should not be more than 400 words
* About 3 to 6 keywords should be provided.
* Heading and subheading should be numbered.

e.g.

* Abstract
* Introduction
* Material and Methods
* Results
* Discussion
* Conclusion
* Acknowledgement
* References

## Ethical Matters

## Writers including in the use of test creatures and human subjects in their examination article should look for endorsement from the proper Ethical council as per "Standards of Laboratory Animal Care". The Method segment of the composition ought to incorporate an announcement to demonstrate that the examination was endorsed and that educated assent was gotten.

## Job of Editors: The job of the editors is to assess the reasonableness of submitted compositions for the diary, including: (a) the nature of the composition, (b) regardless of whether it meets the Aims and Scope of the diary, and (c) the innovation of the work. The editors won't unveil data about a submitted original copy to anybody other than the relating creator, analysts or potential commentators, or different individuals from the article board. Editors will guarantee the incite treatment of the audit procedure. Editors will assess the value of compositions for scholarly substance without respect to race, sex, nation of cause, ethnicity, religion, or sexual introduction.

## Job of Reviewers: Associate survey is fundamental to the diary in helping with settling on publication choices and helping creators in original copy enhancement. Commentators should call attention to pertinent productions not refered to in the composition and bring up any likenesses with beforehand distributed works. Commentators ought not think about compositions with irreconcilable circumstances, focused, money related, or shared. In the event that a potential commentator feels inadequate to survey the original copy, that analyst ought to inform the editors quickly and decay the audit. Original copies got for survey will be treated as classified reports and not appeared or examined with other without approval from the editors. Creators should hope to get commentator reports in an incite way, regularly inside three weeks. Commentator wrongdoing (break of secrecy, postponement of associate survey, written falsification, or irreconcilable circumstances) won't go on without serious consequences.

## Job of Authors: Creators of unique research (not beforehand distributed or under thought for production somewhere else) ought to be a precise introduction of the work did, a talk of the hugeness of the work in setting with past works, and ought to contain adequate exploratory detail to enable others to duplicate the work. Suitable reference of already distributed works should dependably be incorporated. Creators ought to unveil any monetary or different irreconcilable situations that might be understood as impacting the information or understanding. All wellsprings of money related help ought to be uncovered. Origin ought to be constrained to those people who have made a critical commitment to the work as far as origination, structure, test execution, and information examination and understanding. All people making noteworthy commitments ought to be incorporated as co-creators. On the off chance that a creator finds a huge blunder in the distributed work, the creator is committed to illuminate the diary proofreader with the end goal to either right or withdraw the paper.

## Job of the Publisher: In instances of demonstrated logical wrongdoing, written falsification, or deceitful production, the distributer, in a joint effort with the publication board, will make proper move to clear up the circumstance, distribute a failure, or withdraw the work being referred to.

## Guidelines to Reviewer

## Criteria for Reviewing: Reviewers could pass judgment on any original copy based on following criteria:

## Format of the Article: Any real difference from the standard original copy organization ought to be shown.

## Technical Presentation: The examination article ought to be in fact introduced as opposed to being exhibited as a story. Negligible reiteration of past work ought not be acknowledged. You can search for reasonable headway over beforehand distributed work. Any real oversight of the beforehand distributed discoveries on the comparative issue must be checked.

## Interpretation of Result: The exchange ought to drift around the outcome and ought exclude superfluous and unachievable proclamation.

## Statistical Presentation: Proper insights ought to be connected over the information wherever discovered fundamental.

## Plagiarism of Data: Data demonstrating any kind of doubt, duplication and control must be conveyed to the notice of the author(s).

## Summary: Pin point the quality and shortcoming of the article thinking about potential significance of the work with regards to present and future.

## Conclusion: At the end reviewer(s) can prescribe essential remedies expected to acknowledge the paper, in the event that they are really required, else suggest it for production. Whenever found unsatisfactory the paper ought to be proclaimed as unsuitable for production.

## Publication Frequency

Journal frequency publish 6 issue in a year.

## Publication Year

Journal started from March, 2019.

## Publication Charges

Journal charges: **NIL**